

Economics 471: Introductory Econometrics

Department of Economics, Finance and Legal Studies

University of Alabama

Fall 2022

Midterm I

Key

The exam consists of three questions on three pages. Each question is of equal value.

1. Consider the model $y_i = \alpha + u_i$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

- Using method of moments, derive the estimator of α .
- Using least-squares, derive the estimator of α .
- Show that the estimator you obtained in (a) or (b) is an unbiased estimator of α .
- Suppose the true data generating process is $y_i = \alpha + \beta x_i + u_i$. What is the expected value of your estimator from part (a) or (b) conditional upon x ?
- Considering your result from part (d), under what condition(s) will that estimator be unbiased?

$$(a) E(u) = 0 \Rightarrow E(y - \alpha) = 0, \quad \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{\alpha}) = 0 \Rightarrow$$
$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\alpha} \Rightarrow \hat{\alpha} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i = \bar{y}$$

$$(b) \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{u}_i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{\alpha})^2 \Rightarrow \frac{\partial \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{u}_i^2}{\partial \hat{\alpha}} = -2 \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{\alpha}) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{\alpha}) = 0 \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n y_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\alpha} \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n y_i = n \hat{\alpha}$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\alpha} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i = \bar{y}$$

$$(c) E(\hat{\alpha}) = E\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i\right) = E\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha + u_i\right) = \alpha + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E(u_i)$$
$$= \alpha$$

$$(d) E(\hat{\alpha} | \bar{x}) = E\left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i | \bar{x}\right] = E\left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha + \beta x_i + u_i) | \bar{x}\right]$$
$$= \alpha + \beta \bar{x} + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E(u_i | x_i) = \alpha + \beta \bar{x}$$

(e) biased unless $\beta = 0$ or $\bar{x} = 0$

2. Consider the model $y_i = \alpha + \beta x_i + u_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and the least-squares parameter estimate of $\hat{\beta}$. Utilizing the proof listed below, answer the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
 E(\hat{\beta}|x) & \stackrel{(4)}{=} E\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})}{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2} \middle| x\right) \\
 & \stackrel{\times}{=} E\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2} \middle| x\right) \\
 & \stackrel{(1)}{=} E\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})(\alpha + \beta x_i + u_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2} \middle| x\right) \\
 & \stackrel{\times}{=} E\left(\frac{\alpha \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})}{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2} + \frac{\beta \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})(x_i - \bar{x})}{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2} + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i (x_i - \bar{x})}{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2} \middle| x\right) \\
 & \stackrel{\times}{=} \beta + E\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i (x_i - \bar{x})}{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2} \middle| x\right) \\
 & \stackrel{(2)(3)}{=} \beta
 \end{aligned}$$

- Write down the Gauss-Markov Assumptions.
- Using the Gauss-Markov Assumptions you wrote down in part (a), list which assumption(s) are being employed (if any) to move from one line to the next in the proof below.
- What does the proof above prove?
- Formally show how to move from the fourth to fifth equality sign (i.e., show that $\alpha \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x}) = 0$).
- Formally show how to move from the first to second equality sign (i.e., show that $\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y}) = \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})y_i$).

$$(a) (1) y_i = \alpha + \beta x_i + u_i$$

$$(2) E(u) = 0$$

$$(3) E(u|x) = 0$$

$$(4) \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2 > 0$$

$$(5) V(u|x) = \sigma^2$$

(b) see above

(c) $\hat{\beta}$ is an unbiased estimator of β

$$(d) \alpha \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x}) = 0$$

suffices to show $\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x}) = 0$

note that $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = \bar{x}$ by definition

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x}) &= \sum_{i=1}^n x_i - \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{x} \\ &= n\bar{x} - n\bar{x} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (e) \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{y})(x_i - \bar{x}) &= \sum_{i=1}^n y_i (x_i - \bar{x}) - \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{y} (x_i - \bar{x}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n y_i (x_i - \bar{x}) - \bar{y} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n y_i (x_i - \bar{x}) \end{aligned}$$

because $\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x}) = 0$
as shown in part (d)

3. Consider the gretl output below for the regression of college GPA (colGPA) on high school GPA (hsGPA). With this information, answer the following:

Model 1: OLS, using observations 1–141

Dependent variable: colGPA

	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-ratio	p-value
const	1.415	0.307	4.611	0.0000
hsGPA	0.482	0.090	5.371	0.0000
Mean dependent var	3.056	S.D. dependent var	0.372	
Sum squared resid	16.071	S.E. of regression	0.340	
R^2	0.171	Adjusted R^2	0.165	
$F(1, 139)$	28.845	P-value(F)	3.21e-07	
Log-likelihood	-46.962	Akaike criterion	97.925	
Schwarz criterion	103.822	Hannan-Quinn	100.321	

- Interpret the intercept coefficient. Is this result intuitive?
- Interpret the slope coefficient. Is this result intuitive?
- What proportion of the variation in college GPA can be explained by the model?
- What high school GPA will predict a perfect college GPA (i.e., 4.0 college GPA)?
- Test the null that high school GPA is irrelevant in predicting college GPA.

(a) If $hsGPA = 0$ we expect colGPA to be 1.415

(b) If $hsGPA \uparrow$ by 1 unit, we expect colGPA to rise by 0.482

(c) $R^2 = 0.171$ or 17.1%

$$(d) \hat{y} = \hat{\alpha} + \hat{\beta}x$$

$$4.0 = 1.415 + 0.482x \Rightarrow x = \frac{4.0 - 1.415}{0.482} = 5.363$$

$$(e) H_0: \beta = 0$$

$$H_a: \beta \neq 0$$

$$t_{\beta} = \frac{0.482 - 0}{0.090}$$

$$= 5.371$$

$$> 2$$

\Rightarrow reject H_0

(or use p-value < 0.05)