

Economics 471: Econometrics

Department of Economics, Finance and Legal Studies

University of Alabama

Fall 2014

Final Exam – Answers

1. (a) $E(u|x) = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{\beta}x_i) x_i = 0 \Rightarrow \hat{\beta} = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i x_i / \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2$
 - (b) $\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{u}_i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{\beta}x_i)^2, \partial/\partial\hat{\beta} = -2 \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{\beta}x_i) x_i \Rightarrow \hat{\beta} = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i x_i / \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2$
 - (c) $E(\hat{\beta}) = E(\sum_{i=1}^n y_i x_i / \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2) = E[\sum_{i=1}^n (\beta x_i + u_i) x_i / \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2] = \beta + E(\sum_{i=1}^n u_i x_i / \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2) = \beta$
 - (d) $E(\hat{\beta}) = E(\sum_{i=1}^n y_i x_i / \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2) = E[\sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha + \beta x_i + u_i) x_i / \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2] = \alpha \sum_{i=1}^n x_i / \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 + \beta + E(\sum_{i=1}^n u_i x_i / \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2) = \beta + \alpha \sum_{i=1}^n x_i / \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2$
 $Bias(\hat{\beta}) = E(\hat{\beta}) - \beta = \alpha \sum_{i=1}^n x_i / \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2$
 - (e) $\hat{\beta} = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{y})(x_i - \bar{x}) / \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2$
2. (a) $E(u) = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{\alpha}) = 0 \Rightarrow \hat{\alpha} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i$
 - (b) $\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{u}_i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{\alpha})^2, \partial/\partial\hat{\alpha} = -2 \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{\alpha}) \Rightarrow \hat{\alpha} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i$
 - (c) $V(\hat{\alpha}) = V(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$
 - i. $n \uparrow \Rightarrow V(\hat{\alpha}) \downarrow$
 - ii. $\sigma^2 \uparrow \Rightarrow V(\hat{\alpha}) \uparrow$
 - iii. Plays no role
 - iv. Plays no role
 - (d) $H_0 : \beta_1 = \dots = \beta_k = 0$, but we have no regressors in this model and so there is nothing to test
3. (a) If the woman has zero years of education, the probability she works is equal to -0.146 . This is not reasonable as the probability is negative.
 - (b) If a woman increases her education by one year, the probability she works goes up by 0.038 . The sign is reasonable and the magnitude is up for debate.
 - (c) $t = -0.146/0.121 = -1.207 < 2 \Rightarrow$ fail to reject, $t = 0.038/0.014 = 2.714 > 2 \Rightarrow$ reject null
 - (d) Figure: intercept is at -0.146 , intersects x axis at 3.84 and reaches value 1 at 22.47 (both to be shown in part (e)).
 - (e) $0 = -0.146 + 0.038x^* \Rightarrow x^* = 0.146/0.038 = 3.84, 1 = -0.416 + 0.038x^{**} \Rightarrow x^{**} = (1 - 0.146)/0.038 = 22.47$
4. (a) $\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{e}_i = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i - \hat{\Lambda}_0 - \hat{\Lambda}_1 x_{1i} - \hat{\Lambda}_2 x_{2i} - \dots - \hat{\Lambda}_k x_{ki} = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i - (\bar{y} - \hat{\Lambda}_1 \bar{x}_1 - \hat{\Lambda}_2 \bar{x}_2 - \dots - \hat{\Lambda}_k \bar{x}_k) - \hat{\Lambda}_1 x_{1i} - \hat{\Lambda}_2 x_{2i} - \dots - \hat{\Lambda}_k x_{ki} = n\bar{y} - n\bar{y} + \hat{\Lambda}_1 \bar{x}_1 + \hat{\Lambda}_2 \bar{x}_2 + \dots + \hat{\Lambda}_k \bar{x}_k - \hat{\Lambda}_1 \bar{x}_1 - \hat{\Lambda}_2 \bar{x}_2 - \dots - \hat{\Lambda}_k \bar{x}_k = 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(b)} \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{e}_i x_{1i} &= \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{\Lambda}_0 - \hat{\Lambda}_1 x_{1i}) x_{1i} = \sum_{i=1}^n \left[y_i - (\bar{y} - \hat{\Lambda}_1 \bar{x}_1) - \hat{\Lambda}_1 x_{1i} \right] x_{1i} = \sum_{i=1}^n \left[(y_i - \bar{y}) - \hat{\Lambda}_1 (x_{1i} - \bar{x}_1) \right] x_{1i} = \\
& \sum_{i=1}^n \left[(y_i - \bar{y}) - \hat{\Lambda}_1 (x_{1i} - \bar{x}_1) \right] (x_{1i} - \bar{x}_1) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left[(y_i - \bar{y}) (x_{1i} - \bar{x}_1) - \hat{\Lambda}_1 (x_{1i} - \bar{x}_1)^2 \right] = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{y}) (x_{1i} - \bar{x}_1) - \\
& \hat{\Lambda}_1 \sum_{i=1}^n (x_{1i} - \bar{x}_1)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{y}) (x_{1i} - \bar{x}_1) - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{y})(x_{1i} - \bar{x}_1)}{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_{1i} - \bar{x}_1)^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_{1i} - \bar{x}_1)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{y}) (x_{1i} - \bar{x}_1) - \\
& \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{y}) (x_{1i} - \bar{x}_1) = 0 \\
\text{(c)} \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{e}_i x_{2i} &= \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{\Lambda}_0 - \hat{\Lambda}_2 x_{2i}) x_{2i} = \sum_{i=1}^n \left[y_i - (\bar{y} - \hat{\Lambda}_2 \bar{x}_2) - \hat{\Lambda}_2 x_{2i} \right] x_{2i} = \sum_{i=1}^n \left[(y_i - \bar{y}) - \hat{\Lambda}_2 (x_{2i} - \bar{x}_2) \right] x_{2i} = \\
& \sum_{i=1}^n \left[(y_i - \bar{y}) - \hat{\Lambda}_2 (x_{2i} - \bar{x}_2) \right] (x_{2i} - \bar{x}_2) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left[(y_i - \bar{y}) (x_{2i} - \bar{x}_2) - \hat{\Lambda}_2 (x_{2i} - \bar{x}_2)^2 \right] = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{y}) (x_{2i} - \bar{x}_2) - \\
& \hat{\Lambda}_2 \sum_{i=1}^n (x_{2i} - \bar{x}_2)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{y}) (x_{2i} - \bar{x}_2) - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{y})(x_{2i} - \bar{x}_2)}{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_{2i} - \bar{x}_2)^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_{2i} - \bar{x}_2)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{y}) (x_{2i} - \bar{x}_2) - \\
& \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{y}) (x_{2i} - \bar{x}_2) = 0 \\
\text{(d)} \quad \hat{y}_i = \hat{\Lambda}_0 + \hat{\Lambda}_1 x_{1i} + \hat{\Lambda}_2 x_{2i} + \dots + \hat{\Lambda}_k x_{ki}, \text{ evaluated at } (\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, \dots, \bar{x}_k) \text{ leads to } \hat{\Lambda}_0 + \hat{\Lambda}_1 \bar{x}_1 + \hat{\Lambda}_2 \bar{x}_2 + \\
& \dots + \hat{\Lambda}_k \bar{x}_k = \left(\bar{y} - \hat{\Lambda}_1 \bar{x}_1 - \hat{\Lambda}_2 \bar{x}_2 - \dots - \hat{\Lambda}_k \bar{x}_k \right) + \hat{\Lambda}_1 \bar{x}_1 + \hat{\Lambda}_2 \bar{x}_2 + \dots + \hat{\Lambda}_k \bar{x}_k = \bar{y}
\end{aligned}$$